How coUrbanize Compares with Traditional Engagement Methods

coUrbanize has supported more than 500 project teams with authentic community engagement resulting in on-time approvals and lower costs. With technology purpose-built for equitable engagement, teams benefit from best-practice technology and moderation, driving more positive results than traditional methods.

Solutions	Data-informed, data-driven best-practice © coUrbanize	"Traditional Methods"	
		Static Website	Traditional In-Person Meetings
RESULTS			
Comment sentiments	90% positive/neutral	60+% negative*	60+% negative*
On-time approvals	86%	×	×
Data reporting	Outreach reports	Manual	Manual
OVERVIEW			
Designed for	Equitable community engagement	Marketing purposes	×
Built by	Urban planners	Marketing and/ or PR teams	×
Insight culled from	500+ community engagement projects	×	×
TECHNOLOGY			
Best-practice polling & comment moderation	~	×	×
Time for team to manage engagement	30 minutes/week	3+ hours/week for a dedicated consultant & 3-5 weeks for website creation	3+ hours/week for a dedicated consultant
Feedback loops optimized for mobile commenting	✓	×	×
Text-to-comment facilitation	~	×	×
COMMUNITY			
Majority commenters	Online	Town hall meetings	Town hall meetings
Majority comments online	coUrbanize	Facebook, NextDoor, online newspaper	Facebook, NextDoor, online newspaper
Silent supporters reached	✓	×	×
Majority commenters per project	Even distribution of gender, age, income	Overrepresent white, male, older, homeowners	Overrepresent white, male, older, homeowners
Language equity for non-native speakers	✓	×	×
Drives positive support during public outreach phase	✓	×	×

^{*}Einstein, Katherine Levine, David M. Glick, and Maxwell Palmer. 2019. Neighborhood Defenders: Participatory Politics and America's Housing Crisis. New York: Cambridge University Press.

